

## Call for Papers: "Digital Material/ism – How Materiality shapes Digital Culture and Social Interaction"

Thank you for acting as referee for the *Digital Culture & Society* journal. The attached paper has been submitted to the abovementioned call on "Digital Material/ism". You can find the call for papers online:

[http://www.transcript-verlag.de/content/t/digital\\_culture\\_and\\_society\\_cfp\\_missionstatement.pdf](http://www.transcript-verlag.de/content/t/digital_culture_and_society_cfp_missionstatement.pdf)

### Our criteria and your role as reviewer

By using the peer review form, we would like you to evaluate if the submitted paper is suitable for publication in the *Digital Culture & Society* journal, and if it suits the respective theme. We would like to facilitate a constructive peer review process. Please provide detailed and constructive feedback in a polite tone: please specify which parts of the paper need to be revised and point out if there are aspects that seem problematic. Concrete suggestions for the revision are very welcome.

We would highly appreciate if you could send your feedback to Ramón Reichert ([ramon.reichert@univie.ac.at](mailto:ramon.reichert@univie.ac.at)) and Annika Richterich ([a.richterich@maastrichtuniversity.nl](mailto:a.richterich@maastrichtuniversity.nl)) within 14 days after receiving the paper. Please let us know if you will need more time to provide feedback on the paper.

When reviewing the paper, please use the following assessment criteria:

1. Topic: Does the paper address a topic which fits in well with the theme of "Digital Material/ism"?
2. Argumentation and structure: Is the argumentation convincing and coherent? Are assumptions and arguments well substantiated? Are the research results based on an appropriate method/approach? Is the paper well structured?
3. Contextualization: Does the paper address relevant debates and publications in the field? Are there issues or publications missing which should be mentioned?
4. Writing: Is the paper well written? Are in-text references and the list of references presented coherently? Are there any formal issues which need to be corrected?
5. Additional remarks

Please react to these questions by using the form below. In addition, you may add comments to the paper or suggest adjustments using the 'track-changes' function.

Reviewer	(The form will be anonymized before sending it to the reviewee.)
Paper title	

Recommendation (double-click on checkbox):

- Accept; no revision required
- Accept after minor revisions (specified below)
- Support publication but ensure major revisions (specified below)
- Reject paper for reasons given below

1. Topic: Does the paper address a topic which fits in well with the theme of the respective call?
2. Argumentation and structure: Is the argumentation convincing and coherent? Are assumptions and arguments well substantiated? Are the research results based on an appropriate method/approach? Is the paper well structured?
3. Contextualization: Does the paper address relevant debates and publications in the field? Are there issues or publications missing which should be mentioned?
4. Writing: Is the paper well written? Are in-text references and the list of references presented correctly? Are there any formal issues which need to be corrected?
5. Additional remarks